

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/601,132              | 06/20/2003             | Anthony P. Shuber    | EXCT-31012/US-1/PRI | 4962             |
| 72960<br>Casimir Jones, | 7590 01/18/201<br>S.C. | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 2275 DEMINO             | 3 WAY, SUITE 310       |                      | AEDER, SEAN E       |                  |
| MIDDLETON               | , WI 55562             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                         |                        |                      | 1642                |                  |
|                         |                        |                      |                     |                  |
|                         |                        |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                         |                        |                      | 01/18/2011          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)       |  |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/601,132      | SHUBER, ANTHONY P. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit           |  |
| SEAN E. AEDER   | 1642               |  |

|  | SEAN E. AEDER  | 1642  |   |
|--|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear  | ars on the cover sheet with the o  | orrespondence add   | ress  |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | R ALLOWANCE.  |   |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | the same day as filing a Notice of A<br>replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request                       |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing  | date of the final rejection.   |   |   |
| b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t<br>MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(f)   | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | date of the final rejection   | on.   |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date of thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of a valued or 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sit forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origin                               | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office                | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as            |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl<br/>filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wil<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the  |   |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  | sideration and/or search (see NOT v);  | E below);   |   |
| <ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett<br/>appeal; and/or</li> </ul>  | er form for appeal by materially rec   | ducing or simplifying the   | ie issues for                                       |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c   | orresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.   |   |
| NOTE: Methods wherein nucleic acid fragments a<br>sample" have not been previously been considered<br>argument that support for such methods is disclose<br>claims and specification) do not disclose methods<br>stool sample. Rather, the cited paragraphs disclose   | and would require further search<br>and at [0059] and [0060], the cited pa<br>comprising amplifying unfractionate  | and consideration. In a<br>aragraphs (and the ora<br>ad heterologous DNA) | regards to the<br>iginally filed<br>isolated from a |
| obtained after centrifugation. (See 37 CFR 1.116 at  | nd 41.33(a)).  | _   |   |
| <ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>  |  | mpilant Amendment (i  | *10L-324).  |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alk non-allowable claim(s).  |  | imely filed amendmer  | t canceling the                                     |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) thow the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | be entered and an ex  | planation of  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1.4-8.11.14.19-21.24.28-30 and 35-40.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | sufficient reasons why the affidavi  | t or other evidence is  | necessary and                                       |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se                                     | l and/or appellant fails<br>e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)                          | s to provide a<br>).                                |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>   | of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attache  | ad.   |
| 11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but The proposed amendments have not been entered. Furth reasons set-forth in the Office Action of 11/12/10.  |  |   |   |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |   |
| 13. Other:   |  |   |   |

/Sean E Aeder/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20110112